

## HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECT EVALUATION CRITERIA WORKSHEET

This worksheet is used, as a consistent approach, to assign a numeric value to each project. It allows the Sarasota County Local Mitigation Strategy Work Group to prioritize projects relative to one another based on several factors outlined below.

### *Project Information*

Project Priority *	
Project Score	
Name of Project	
Brief Description of Project	
Hazard Mitigated*	
Hazard Mitigation Strategy*	
Hazard Mitigation Goals Achieved *	
Funding Source	
Jurisdiction Project Benefit (List all applicable jurisdictions)*	
Jurisdiction	
Jurisdiction Project #	
Agency Responsible for Implementation	
Estimated Cost	
Timeframe for Project Completion	
Mitigate New or Existing	

\* Refer to The Sarasota County Local Mitigation Strategy Project List notes for assistance.

### *Project Scoring*

**Cost or Cost Impact:** This would refer to the actual cost of the project over the life of the project and/or the cost impact that would occur because of the project.

Score	Points	Description
	4	No quantifiable Cost or Cost Impact, or the Cost/Cost Impact is less than 50,000
	3	Cost/Cost Impact is between \$50,000 and \$250,000
	2	Cost/Cost Impact is between \$250,001 and \$1,000,000
	1	Cost/Cost Impact is between \$1,000,001 and \$5,000,000
	0	Cost/Cost Impact exceeds \$5,000,000

**Probability of Funding:** How likely is it that this project could get funded?

Score	Points	Description
	4	Funding is available through local short-term budgeting (less than two years) or a grant for this type of project is available and the likelihood of success is high.
	3	Funding is available through local long-term budgeting (more than two years) or grants for this type of project are available, but the likelihood of success is moderate.
	2	Funding could only be accomplished through matching local dollars with funds from other sources; or would require a blend of funding sources.
	1	Funding could only be accomplished through post-disaster funding options.
	0	No funding sources can be identified.

**Probability of Community Acceptance:**

Score	Points	Description
	4	This type of project would likely be endorsed by the entire community.
	3	This type of project would benefit only those directly affected and would not adversely affect the rest of the community.
	2	This type of project could place some burden of cost on the community, but would likely be endorsed as an acceptable cost for the benefit received.
	1	This type of project would place a burden of cost on the community that might not win endorsement by residents and/or businesses.
	0	This type of project is not likely to be endorsed by the community.

**Estimated Ratio of Benefit vs. Cost:** The individual or entity proposing this project should have completed a “Consequence Analysis” to support the ration of benefit vs. cost.

Score	Points	Description
	4	Both quantitative and qualitative benefits make this a high priority project.
	3	The benefit of this project is 2 to 4, or more, times the cost and/or the qualitative benefits make the project one that should be given a relatively high priority.
	2	The benefit of this project is over 1, but less than 2 times the cost and/or the qualitative benefits make the project one that should be strongly considered.

	1	The benefit of this project is equal to or less than the cost and/or the benefits are difficult to quantify due to their qualitative nature.
	0	The ratio of benefits vs. cost cannot be quantified.

**Complexity of Implementation:** The following list shows examples of various items that can make a project more complex;

- Time involved for planning and/or completion.
- Involves numerous agencies and/or jurisdictions
- Permitting (Either the type of permitting required or the time period involved, or both)
- Difficulty in obtaining funding
- Requires a public vote
- Requires a public hearing

Score	Points	Description
	4	This project will be relatively easy to put in place in a short period of time.
	3	This project should not be very complex based on the items listed.
	2	This project will be somewhat complex due to one of the items listed.
	1	This is a complex project because it involves at least two of the items listed.
	0	This is a complex project because it involves three or more of the items listed.

**Addressed in Plans, Programs, and Policies:** The following list shows examples of various guiding principles that may affect, or be affected by, the project;

- Goals & Objectives of Sarasota LMS
- Comprehensive Growth Management Plans
- Comprehensive Emergency Management Plans
- Land Development Codes, Zoning Ordinances, or Building Codes
- Environmental, Conservation, Preservation and/or Reclamation plans, programs or policies.
- Statutes, Public Laws, other local laws, and/or other plans, programs, or policies.

Score	Points	Description
	4	This project is addressed in at least three of the items listed.
	3	This project is addressed in at least two of the items listed.
	2	This project is addressed in at least one of the items listed.
	1	Where this project is addressed in any plans, programs or policies is not clear.
	0	This project may not fall within the purview of Sarasota LMS.

**Health and Safety:**

<b>Score</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Description</b>
	4	This project could affect the Health & Safety of several jurisdictions (totaling over 250,000 people) and/or major portions of the county population.
	3	This project could affect the Health & Safety of between 50,000 and 250,000 people
	2	This project could affect the Health & Safety of between 1,000 and 50,000 people
	1	This project could affect the Health & Safety of less than 1,000 people.
	0	This project has no Health & Safety implications.

<b>Compilation of Scores</b>	
<b>Scores</b>	<b>Issues</b>
	Cost or Cost Impact
	Probability of Funding
	Probability of Community Acceptance
	Ration of Benefit vs. Cost
	Complexity of Implementation
	Consistency with other Plans, Programs, and Policies
	Health and Safety Considerations
	<b>Total Score</b>

**Date Completed:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Scoring performed by:** \_\_\_\_\_ Local Mitigation Strategy Committee

\_\_\_\_\_ Other (Describe): \_\_\_\_\_

**Chair, Co-Chair, or Authorized Committee Member acknowledgement:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Printed Name**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature**